

Implementing Graphblas Primitives on Distributed-Memory Systems

SIAM CSE'21

Minisymposium on GraphBLAS

Benjamin Brock, Aydın Buluç, and Katherine Yelick March 1, 2021







Implementing Graphblas Primitives on Using RDMA! **Distributed-Memory Systems**

SIAM CSE'21

Minisymposium on GraphBLAS

Benjamin Brock, Aydın Buluç, and Katherine Yelick March 1, 2021









Background





Background

- GraphBLAS API allows graph algorithms to be expressed using linear algebra primitives



- Instead of optimizing each graph algorithm individually, optimize only a few sparse linear algebra operations
- Center around matrix multiplication: SpMM, SpGEMM, SpMV







What is "Distributed"?

A collection of nodes,
 connected by a network.







How to program distributed?



- Message Passing bulk synchronous
 collectives (OR matching send/receives)
- RDMA directly read/write to remote memory



How to program distributed?



- Message Passing bulk synchronous
 collectives (OR matching send/receives)
- **RDMA** directly read/write to **remote memory**





How to program distributed?



- Message Passing bulk synchronous
 collectives (OR matching send/receives)
- RDMA directly read/write to remote memory







Distributed Matrices





Distributed Matrices

 Matrix is split up across a tile grid (composed of tiles or blocks)







Distributed Matrices

- Matrix is split up across a tile grid (composed of tiles or blocks)
- Tiles are assigned to processes
 using some strategy

PO	
P2	





We wish to compute **C** = **AB**

Let's compute one block of the output, C.





Δ





С



В

We wish to compute **C** = **AB**

In practice, compute **one block at a time**









С



В

We wish to compute **C** = **AB**

In practice, compute one block at a time

C[i, j] += A[i, k] * B[k, j] for all k







		E

С



B

We wish to compute **C** = **AB**

In practice, compute one block at a time

C[i, j] += A[i, k] * B[k, j] for all k







		E

B



We wish to compute **C** = **AB**

In practice, compute one block at a time

C[i, j] += A[i, k] * B[k, j] for all k









Β



We wish to compute **C** = **AB**

In practice, compute one block at a time

C[i, j] += A[i, k] * B[k, j] for all k









В



Methods of Moving Tiles

In **SUMMA**, row and column broadcasts distribute tiles

for k in K:
 broadcast in row of A -> local_a
 broadcast in column of B -> local_b
 local_c += local_a*local_b







Methods of Moving Tiles

In **SUMMA**, row and column broadcasts distribute tiles

for k in K:
 broadcast in row of A -> local_a
 broadcast in column of B -> local_b
 local_c += local_a*local_b







An Issue with Bulk Synchronous Distributed MM

- In **bulk synchronous algorithms**, load balancing problems can occur
- With local sparse operations, each operation may have differing amounts of work
- This leads to time wasted waiting for slower processes to finish







Hypothetical Execution Timeline



RDMA-Based Algorithms

- RDMA provides put and get operations
- Put writes to a remote node's memory, get reads
- We can use **RDMA** to implement **distributed matmul**



Node 1

Shared Segment



RDMA-Based Matrix Data Structure

- Each process has a remote pointer it can use to get / put to a tile
- In the dense matrix case, single pointer
- In the sparse case, pointers to CSR data structure







We wish to compute **C** = **AB**

```
i, j = my_block(C)
for k in K:
  local_a = A[i, k].get()
  local_b = B[k, j].get()
  local c += local a*local b
```





		E

С



B

We wish to compute **C** = **AB**

```
i, j = my_block(C)
for k in K:
  local_a = A[i, k].get()
  local_b = B[k, j].get()
  local c += local a*local b
```







		E

R



We wish to compute **C** = **AB**

```
i, j = my_block(C)
for k in K:
  local_a = A[i, k].get()
  local_b = B[k, j].get()
  local c += local a*local b
```







Β



We wish to compute **C** = **AB**

```
i, j = my_block(C)
for k in K:
  local_a = A[i, k].get()
  local_b = B[k, j].get()
  local c += local a*local b
```









Β



We wish to compute **C** = **AB**



C[i, j] A[i, 3] B[3, j]







В



Important Optimizations

1) Iteration offset

```
We wish to compute C = AB
```

```
i, j = my_block(C)
for k_ in K:
 k = (k_ + k_offset) % K
 local_a = A[i, k].get()
 local_b = B[k, j].get()
 local_c += local_a*local_b
```







Important Optimizations

```
We wish to compute C = AB
```

```
i, j = my_block(C)
for k_ in K:
 k = (k_ + k_offset) % K
 local_a = buf_a.get()
  local_b = buf b.get()
  if k + 1 < K:
   buf_a = A[i, k+1].async_get()
    buf_b = B[k+1, j].async_get()
```

```
local_c += local_a*local_b
```

1) Iteration offset 2) Pre-fetching, for overlap







Stationary A, B, and C Implementations

- It should be noted that thus far, we've implied a stationary C implementation
- With **stationary C**, **C remains in place**, while A and B must be **communicated**
- We've also implemented RDMA stationary A&B





Performance Results





Performance Implementations

- We implemented dense and sparse matrix data structures on using BCL, for both distributed **CPU** and **GPU**
- Results presented today are for SpMM GPU, using **NVSHMEM**, an extension of OpenSHMEM that provides direct GPU-to-GPU communication
- cuSPARSE used for local sparse matrix operations



SpMM (Sparse times Dense)

- Bulk synchronous implementations (top 3 lines) use CUDA-aware MPI
- Asynchronous implementations (bottom 2 lines) use **NVSHMEM**
- All implementations use **CuSPARSE** for local computation.







Conclusions

- 1. **RDMA-based implementations** of distributed matrix multiply **decouple** inner loop iterations and are truly asynchronous
- 2. They **perform favorably** compared to bulk synchronous implementations
- 3. As with many sparse operations, can be **difficult to scale** if not enough work



Limitations

- 1. Many graph algorithms require custom semirings
 - a. **CuSPARSE** does not currently support custom semirings
 - b. Currently evaluating **GE-SpMM** and **CUSP**

Suboptimal Tile Partition

- 2. Still experimenting with **tile partitioning** algorithms
- 3. RDMA-based Stationary A, B algorithms can be less memory efficient than bulk synchronous implementations







Backup Slides





Berkeley Container Library

- A series of data structures built on global pointers
- Processes can directly read and write from each others' memories

Node O

- Executed in **RDMA**

Node 1

Shared Segment



Berkeley Container Library Philosophy

- Use **RDMA** for all principal data structure operations
- **1)** Executed efficiently in **hardware**
- 2) No need to interrupt remote CPU
- 3) Maps well to familiar data structure operations





BCL Data Structures



Queues Suffix arrays Hash tables Bloom filters





Drawings / Content





An Issue with Bulk Synchronous Distributed MM





Methods of Moving Tiles

In **Cannon's algorithm**, a **redistribution step**, followed by **passing matrices** right and below

```
for k in K:
   send A tile to the right
   send B tile below
   receive A, receive B
   local_c += A*B
```





В



Methods of Moving Tiles

In **Cannon's algorithm**, a **redistribution step**, followed by **passing matrices** right and below

```
for k in K:
   send A tile to the right
   send B tile below
   receive A, receive B
   local_c += A*B
```





В

